



# University of California Santa Barbara

---

**CS 4: Computer Science Bootcamp**

Winter 2018

# ESCI SURVEY STATISTICS

4/6/18

## End of Winter Quarter 2018

*Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Spring Quarter 2013 - Winter Quarter 2018*

Abbrv: **CMPSC**    Instructor: **NEKRASOV M S**    Rank: **Teaching Assistant**    Course: **CMPSC 4 0103**    Type: **Discussion**  
 Department: **COMPUTER SCIENCE**    Course Enrollment: **25**

*Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at <http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci>.*

*NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278).*

(888)      **1.**    The TA's interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter.

(a) excellent    (b) good    (c) average    (d) fair    (e) poor

	1	2	3	4	5	Blank	Total	Total	Mean	Median
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	Response	Students	Courses		
<b>This COURSE current quarter</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>21%</b>				<b>0</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.0</b>
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	62%	26%	9%	3%	1%	1	872	37	1.5	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	58%	31%	9%	1%		39	13072	569	1.5	1.0
Campus TAs over time	58%	31%	9%	1%		39	13072	569	1.5	1.0

(889)      **2.**    The TA's interest and enthusiasm for teaching.

(a) excellent    (b) good    (c) average    (d) fair    (e) poor

	1	2	3	4	5	Blank	Total	Total	Mean	Median
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	Response	Students	Courses		
<b>This COURSE current quarter</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>2%</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.0</b>
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	61%	26%	10%	3%	1%	1	872	37	1.6	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	56%	30%	11%	2%	1%	40	13072	569	1.6	1.0
Campus TAs over time	56%	30%	11%	2%	1%	40	13072	569	1.6	1.0

# ESCI SURVEY STATISTICS

4/6/18

## End of Winter Quarter 2018

*Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Spring Quarter 2013 - Winter Quarter 2018*

Abbrv: **CMPSC**    Instructor: **NEKRASOV M S**    Rank: **Teaching Assistant**    Course: **CMPSC 4 0103**    Type: **Discussion**  
 Department: **COMPUTER SCIENCE**    Course Enrollment: **25**

*Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at <http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci>.*

*NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278).*

(2654)    **3.**    The TA's preparation of materials and periods of instruction.

(a) excellent    (b) good    (c) average    (d) fair    (e) poor

Response weighting:	1	2	3	4	5	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)					
<b>This COURSE current quarter</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>4%</b>			<b>0</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.0</b>
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	61%	26%	10%	2%	1%	1	872	37	1.6	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	60%	28%	9%	2%	1%	66	13072	569	1.6	1.0
Campus TAs over time	60%	28%	9%	2%	1%	66	13072	569	1.6	1.0

(2655)    **4.**    The TA's clarity and precision of speech.

(a) excellent    (b) good    (c) average    (d) fair    (e) poor

Response weighting:	1	2	3	4	5	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)					
<b>This COURSE current quarter</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>6%</b>			<b>0</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.0</b>
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	58%	26%	11%	3%	2%	0	872	37	1.6	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	54%	27%	12%	4%	2%	51	13072	569	1.7	1.0
Campus TAs over time	54%	27%	12%	4%	2%	51	13072	569	1.7	1.0

# ESCI SURVEY STATISTICS

4/6/18

## End of Winter Quarter 2018

*Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Spring Quarter 2013 - Winter Quarter 2018*

Abbrv: **CMPSC**    Instructor: **NEKRASOV M S**    Rank: **Teaching Assistant**    Course: **CMPSC 4 0103**    Type: **Discussion**  
 Department: **COMPUTER SCIENCE**    Course Enrollment: **25**

*Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at <http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci>.*

*NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278).*

(2552)    **5.** Your overall evaluation of the TA.

(a) excellent    (b) good    (c) average    (d) fair    (e) poor

Response weighting:	1	2	3	4	5	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)					
<b>This COURSE current quarter</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>2%</b>			<b>0</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.0</b>
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	60%	27%	9%	2%	1%	3	872	37	1.6	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	58%	30%	9%	2%	1%	58	13072	569	1.6	1.0
Campus TAs over time	58%	30%	9%	2%	1%	58	13072	569	1.6	1.0

(190)    **6.** How well does your T.A. seem to know the subject matter of the course?

(a) truly exceptional knowledge  
 (b) thorough knowledge  
 (c) adequate knowledge  
 (d) inadequate knowledge

Response weighting:	1	2	3	4	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)					
<b>This COURSE current quarter</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>21%</b>			<b>0</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.0</b>
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)									
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	58%	35%	6%	1%	8	872	37	1.5	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	58%	36%	5%	1%	116	13072	569	1.5	1.0
Campus TAs over time	56%	38%	6%	1%	604	85849	4170	1.5	1.0











# ESCI SURVEY STATISTICS

4/6/18

## End of Winter Quarter 2018

*Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Spring Quarter 2013 - Winter Quarter 2018*

Abbrv: **CMPSC**    Instructor: **NEKRASOV M S**    Rank: **Teaching Assistant**    Course: **CMPSC 4 0103**    Type: **Discussion**  
 Department: **COMPUTER SCIENCE**    Course Enrollment: **25**

*Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at <http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci>.*

*NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278).*

(8217)    **17.** 'I am hesitant to ask this TA questions because I get the impression that I am imposing on his/her time.' Do you...

	Strongly agree (a)	Agree (b)	Uncertain (c)	Disagree (d)	Strongly disagree (e)	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
<b>This COURSE current quarter</b>	4	3	0	2	1	0	47	1	1.4	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	6%	5%	18%	29%	42%	16	872	37	1.7	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	5%	6%	16%	30%	44%	206	13072	569	1.7	1.0
Campus TAs over time	3%	4%	11%	27%	54%	3725	79256	4026	1.5	1.0

(1946)    **18.** 'I feel that I must have a specific question in mind before I can talk to this T.A.'

	strongly agree (a)	agree (b)	uncertain (c)	disagree (d)	strongly disagree (e)	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses		
<b>This COURSE current quarter</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	47	1		
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	11%	22%	25%	20%	23%	17	872	37		
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	10%	22%	23%	22%	23%	221	12731	545		
Campus TAs over time	10%	22%	23%	22%	23%	221	12731	545		

# ESCI SURVEY STATISTICS

4/6/18

## End of Winter Quarter 2018

*Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Spring Quarter 2013 - Winter Quarter 2018*

Abbrv: **CMPSC**    Instructor: **NEKRASOV M S**    Rank: **Teaching Assistant**    Course: **CMPSC 4 0103**    Type: **Discussion**  
 Department: **COMPUTER SCIENCE**    Course Enrollment: **25**

*Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at <http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci>.*

*NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278).*

(1244)    **19.** How effective was this discussion/laboratory section in helping you make connections between the laboratory assignments and the lecture materials?

	very effective (a)	effective (b)	ineffective (c)		very ineffective (d)	not applicable to this section (e)	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
Response weighting:	1	2	3	4	0						
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)						
<b>This COURSE current quarter</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.0</b>	
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)											
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	40%	43%	11%	3%	3%	18	872	37	1.8	2.0	
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	42%	42%	10%	3%	3%	274	13072	569	1.7	2.0	
Campus TAs over time	39%	41%	9%	3%	7%	3650	67890	3587	1.7	2.0	

(1898)    **20.** How much did the discussion/laboratory section contribute to the overall value of the course?

	(a) they greatly increased the value	(b) they slightly increased the value	(c) they slightly decreased the value	(d) they greatly decreased the value	(e) not applicable to this course	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median	
Response weighting:	1	2	3	4	0						
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)						
<b>This COURSE current quarter</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>4%</b>			<b>2</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.0</b>	
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)											
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	54%	37%	6%	1%	2%	57	872	37	1.5	1.0	
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	52%	39%	6%	1%	2%	1023	13072	569	1.6	1.0	
Campus TAs over time	52%	39%	6%	1%	2%	1025	13115	573	1.6	1.0	

# ESCI SURVEY STATISTICS

4/6/18

## End of Winter Quarter 2018

*Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Spring Quarter 2013 - Winter Quarter 2018*

Abbrv: **CMPSC**    Instructor: **NEKRASOV M S**    Rank: **Teaching Assistant**    Course: **CMPSC 4 0103**    Type: **Discussion**  
 Department: **COMPUTER SCIENCE**    Course Enrollment: **25**

*Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at <http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci>.*

*NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278).*

(1236)    **21.** How useful were the discussion/laboratory sessions for aiding your understanding of the course material or your ability to apply it?

	very useful (a)	somewhat useful (b)	not very useful (c)	not at all useful (d)	not applicable (e)					
Response weighting:	1	2	3	4	0		Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean Median
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)					
<b>This COURSE current quarter</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>2%</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.0</b>
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	57%	32%	8%	2%	1%	58	872	37	1.5	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	53%	35%	8%	2%	1%	1048	13072	569	1.6	1.0
Campus TAs over time	51%	35%	8%	2%	4%	4589	67890	3587	1.6	1.0

(1281)    **22.** How often did you attend the discussions sections?

- (a) always
- (b) almost always
- (c) about half the time
- (d) seldom
- (e) never

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)				
Response weighting:	0	0	0	0	0		Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses
<b>This COURSE current quarter</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>4%</b>			<b>2</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1</b>	
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)									
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	70%	20%	7%	3%		58	872	37	
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	70%	20%	7%	2%	1%	1040	13072	569	
Campus TAs over time	70%	20%	7%	2%	1%	1040	13072	569	

# ESCI SURVEY STATISTICS

4/6/18

## End of Winter Quarter 2018

*Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Spring Quarter 2013 - Winter Quarter 2018*

Abbrv: **CMPSC**    Instructor: **NEKRASOV M S**    Rank: **Teaching Assistant**    Course: **CMPSC 4 0103**    Type: **Discussion**  
 Department: **COMPUTER SCIENCE**    Course Enrollment: **25**

*Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at <http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci>.*

*NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278).*

(8219)    **23.** Please grade your TA's overall performance in helping YOU master the course.

(a) A            (b) B            (c) C            (d) D            (e) F

Response weighting:	1	2	3	4	5		Blank	Total	Total		
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)		Response	Students	Courses	Mean	Median

<b>This COURSE current quarter</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>5%</b>				<b>3</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.0</b>
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)											
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	64%	25%	8%	2%	1%		74	872	37	1.5	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	61%	27%	9%	2%	1%		1284	13072	569	1.6	1.0
Campus TAs over time	66%	25%	7%	2%	1%		7330	112141	5967	1.5	1.0

(8220)    **24.** Finally, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness.

**Thank you.**

Please see questionnaire.

20. How much did this discussion/laboratory section contribute to the overall value of the course?  
a) they greatly increased the value    b) they slightly increased the value    c) they slightly decreased the value    d) they greatly decreased the value    e) not applicable to this course
21. How useful were the discussion/laboratory sections for aiding your understanding of the course material or your ability to apply it?  
a) very useful    b) somewhat useful    c) not very useful    d) not at all useful    e) not applicable
22. How often did you attend the discussion sections?  
a) always    b) almost always    c) about half the time    d) seldom    e) never
23. Please grade your TA's overall performance in helping YOU master the course:  
a) A    b) B    c) C    d) D    e) F

### WRITTEN COMMENTS

Using the space below, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness. **Please do not write comments on the optical scan form.**

**IF YOU DO NOT HAVE COMMENTS, PLEASE LEAVE THIS AREA BLANK.**

COURSE: CS4 TA: Michael Nofrasov

Michael was really helpful and he was an excellent teacher. He's very clear when he gives instruction during class. I also appreciate how very patient with people who are not ~~not~~ computer science, or "STEM" <sup>major</sup> students. He was willing to answer all kinds of "dumb" Qs I have! Thank you!

20. How much did this discussion/laboratory section contribute to the overall value of the course?  
a) they greatly increased the value    b) they slightly increased the value    c) they slightly decreased the value    d) they greatly decreased the value    e) not applicable to this course
21. How useful were the discussion/laboratory sections for aiding your understanding of the course material or your ability to apply it?  
a) very useful    b) somewhat useful    c) not very useful    d) not at all useful    e) not applicable
22. How often did you attend the discussion sections?  
a) always    b) almost always    c) about half the time    d) seldom    e) never
23. Please grade your TA's overall performance in helping YOU master the course:  
a) A    b) B    c) C    d) D    e) F

### WRITTEN COMMENTS

Using the space below, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness. Please do not write comments on the optical scan form.

IF YOU DO NOT HAVE COMMENTS, PLEASE LEAVE THIS AREA BLANK.

COURSE: CS4 TA: Michael Nekrasov

Enjoyed sections and study sessions.

It is easy to see that you are knowledgeable and you explain the subject well and adjust to the level of ~~under~~ understanding of each student. Very patient and understanding.

20. How much did this discussion/laboratory section contribute to the overall value of the course?  
 a) they greatly increased the value    b) they slightly increased the value    c) they slightly decreased the value    d) they greatly decreased the value    e) not applicable to this course
21. How useful were the discussion/laboratory sections for aiding your understanding of the course material or your ability to apply it?  
 a) very useful    b) somewhat useful    c) not very useful    d) not at all useful    e) not applicable
22. How often did you attend the discussion sections?  
 a) always    b) almost always    c) about half the time    d) seldom    e) never
23. Please grade your TA's overall performance in helping YOU master the course:  
 a) A    b) B    c) C    d) D    e) F

### WRITTEN COMMENTS

Using the space below, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness. **Please do not write comments on the optical scan form.**

**IF YOU DO NOT HAVE COMMENTS, PLEASE LEAVE THIS AREA BLANK.**

COURSE: Computer Science 4    TA: Michael Nokrsov

Michael is an exceptional TA. He has a strong grasp on the material and has the ability to simply complex ideas into something that is easy to grasp. Furthermore, he goes above and beyond to help <sup>students</sup> study by holding additional (might mention long) OH to help students understand the material. He puts a lot of effort into making this class good for everyone.

20. How much did this discussion/laboratory section contribute to the overall value of the course?
- a) they greatly increased the value    b) they slightly increased the value    c) they slightly decreased the value    d) they greatly decreased the value    e) not applicable to this course
21. How useful were the discussion/laboratory sections for aiding your understanding of the course material or your ability to apply it?
- a) very useful    b) somewhat useful    c) not very useful    d) not at all useful    e) not applicable
22. How often did you attend the discussion sections?
- a) always    b) almost always    c) about half the time    d) seldom    e) never
23. Please grade your TA's overall performance in helping YOU master the course:
- a) A    b) B    c) C    d) D    e) F

### WRITTEN COMMENTS

Using the space below, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness. Please do not write comments on the optical scan form.

IF YOU DO NOT HAVE COMMENTS, PLEASE LEAVE THIS AREA BLANK.

COURSE: CS4 TA: Michael Nelkoo

Michael was the most knowledgeable TA for this course. He could answer every question and never seemed stumped by a problem. However, he sometimes explained ~~questions~~ answers as if he was talking to more experienced CS students. This upper level language usually confused me and made finding the answer to my questions a little difficult.

20. How much did this discussion/laboratory section contribute to the overall value of the course?  
a) they greatly increased the value    b) they slightly increased the value    c) they slightly decreased the value    d) they greatly decreased the value    e) not applicable to this course
21. How useful were the discussion/laboratory sections for aiding your understanding of the course material or your ability to apply it?  
a) very useful    b) somewhat useful    c) not very useful    d) not at all useful    e) not applicable
22. How often did you attend the discussion sections?  
a) always    b) almost always    c) about half the time    d) seldom    e) never
23. Please grade your TA's overall performance in helping YOU master the course:  
a) A    b) B    c) C    d) D    e) F

### WRITTEN COMMENTS

Using the space below, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness. **Please do not write comments on the optical scan form.**

**IF YOU DO NOT HAVE COMMENTS, PLEASE LEAVE THIS AREA BLANK.**

COURSE: CS 4 TA: Michael NOKRASOV

Michael is a very helpful TA who is extremely passionate about the subject and making sure that students understand the material. I really enjoyed his discussion and review sessions and am glad to have him as a TA for this class.

20. How much did this discussion/laboratory section contribute to the overall value of the course?

- a) they greatly increased the value    b) they slightly increased the value    c) they slightly decreased the value    d) they greatly decreased the value    e) not applicable to this course

21. How useful were the discussion/laboratory sections for aiding your understanding of the course material or your ability to apply it?

- a) very useful    b) somewhat useful    c) not very useful    d) not at all useful    e) not applicable

22. How often did you attend the discussion sections?

- a) always    b) almost always    c) about half the time    d) seldom    e) never

23. Please grade your TA's overall performance in helping YOU master the course:

- a) A    b) B    c) C    d) D    e) F

## WRITTEN COMMENTS

Using the space below, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness. **Please do not write comments on the optical scan form.**

**IF YOU DO NOT HAVE COMMENTS, PLEASE LEAVE THIS AREA BLANK.**

COURSE: CS4 TA: Michael Nekrasov

Michael was an outstanding TA and went above and beyond when working to help us understand the course material.

20. How much did this discussion/laboratory section contribute to the overall value of the course?  
a) they greatly increased the value    b) they slightly increased the value    c) they slightly decreased the value    d) they greatly decreased the value    e) not applicable to this course
21. How useful were the discussion/laboratory sections for aiding your understanding of the course material or your ability to apply it?  
a) very useful    b) somewhat useful    c) not very useful    d) not at all useful    e) not applicable
22. How often did you attend the discussion sections?  
a) always    b) almost always    c) about half the time    d) seldom    e) never
23. Please grade your TA's overall performance in helping YOU master the course:  
a) A    b) B    c) C    d) D    e) F

### WRITTEN COMMENTS

Using the space below, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness. Please do not write comments on the optical scan form.

IF YOU DO NOT HAVE COMMENTS, PLEASE LEAVE THIS AREA BLANK.

COURSE: CS4 TA: Michael Nikrasol

Very Patient

& Very detailed

Awesome!

20. How much did this discussion/laboratory section contribute to the overall value of the course?  
a) they greatly increased the value    b) they slightly increased the value    c) they slightly decreased the value    d) they greatly decreased the value    e) not applicable to this course
21. How useful were the discussion/laboratory sections for aiding your understanding of the course material or your ability to apply it?  
a) very useful    b) somewhat useful    c) not very useful    d) not at all useful    e) not applicable
22. How often did you attend the discussion sections?  
a) always    b) almost always    c) about half the time    d) seldom    e) never
23. Please grade your TA's overall performance in helping YOU master the course:  
a) A    b) B    c) C    d) D    e) F

### WRITTEN COMMENTS

Using the space below, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness. **Please do not write comments on the optical scan form.**

**IF YOU DO NOT HAVE COMMENTS, PLEASE LEAVE THIS AREA BLANK.**

COURSE: CS4 TA: Michael N.

I thought you were the best lab teacher by far. You kept a good pace and explained everything simply.

Great TA.

20. How much did this discussion/laboratory section contribute to the overall value of the course?  
a) they greatly increased the value    b) they slightly increased the value    c) they slightly decreased the value    d) they greatly decreased the value    e) not applicable to this course
21. How useful were the discussion/laboratory sections for aiding your understanding of the course material or your ability to apply it?  
a) very useful    b) somewhat useful    c) not very useful    d) not at all useful    e) not applicable
22. How often did you attend the discussion sections?  
a) always    b) almost always    c) about half the time    d) seldom    e) never
23. Please grade your TA's overall performance in helping YOU master the course:  
a) A    b) B    c) C    d) D    e) F

### WRITTEN COMMENTS

Using the space below, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness. Please do not write comments on the optical scan form.

IF YOU DO NOT HAVE COMMENTS, PLEASE LEAVE THIS AREA BLANK.

COURSE: CS9 TA: Michael Nokrass

Good TA, was always available, Not as clear in explanations, perhaps use more simple language

20. How much did this discussion/laboratory section contribute to the overall value of the course?

- a) they greatly increased the value    b) they slightly increased the value    c) they slightly decreased the value    d) they greatly decreased the value    e) not applicable to this course

21. How useful were the discussion/laboratory sections for aiding your understanding of the course material or your ability to apply it?

- a) very useful    b) somewhat useful    c) not very useful    d) not at all useful    e) not applicable

22. How often did you attend the discussion sections?

- a) always    b) almost always    c) about half the time    d) seldom    e) never

23. Please grade your TA's overall performance in helping YOU master the course:

- a) A    b) B    c) C    d) D    e) F

## WRITTEN COMMENTS

Using the space below, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness. **Please do not write comments on the optical scan form.**

**IF YOU DO NOT HAVE COMMENTS, PLEASE LEAVE THIS AREA BLANK.**

COURSE: CS4 TA: Michael Nekrasov

*Very friendly and willing to provide help.*

20. How much did this discussion/laboratory section contribute to the overall value of the course?  
 a) they greatly increased the value    b) they slightly increased the value    c) they slightly decreased the value    d) they greatly decreased the value    e) not applicable to this course
21. How useful were the discussion/laboratory sections for aiding your understanding of the course material or your ability to apply it?  
 a) very useful    b) somewhat useful    c) not very useful    d) not at all useful    e) not applicable
22. How often did you attend the discussion sections?  
 a) always    b) almost always    c) about half the time    d) seldom    e) never
23. Please grade your TA's overall performance in helping YOU master the course:  
 a) A    b) B    c) C    d) D    e) F

### WRITTEN COMMENTS

Using the space below, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness. Please do not write comments on the optical scan form.

IF YOU DO NOT HAVE COMMENTS, PLEASE LEAVE THIS AREA BLANK.

COURSE: CS4 TA: Michael Nekrasov

Michael was an outstanding TA who went above and beyond in helping me understand some of the more difficult concepts. I appreciate his availability and ability to be amicable and understandable in his teaching. Absolutly exceptional

20. How much did this discussion/laboratory section contribute to the overall value of the course?  
a) they greatly increased the value    b) they slightly increased the value    c) they slightly decreased the value    d) they greatly decreased the value    e) not applicable to this course
21. How useful were the discussion/laboratory sections for aiding your understanding of the course material or your ability to apply it?  
a) very useful    b) somewhat useful    c) not very useful    d) not at all useful    e) not applicable
22. How often did you attend the discussion sections?  
a) always    b) almost always    c) about half the time    d) seldom    e) never
23. Please grade your TA's overall performance in helping YOU master the course:  
a) A    b) B    c) C    d) D    e) F

### WRITTEN COMMENTS

Using the space below, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness. Please do not write comments on the optical scan form.

IF YOU DO NOT HAVE COMMENTS, PLEASE LEAVE THIS AREA BLANK.

COURSE: CS 4 TA: Michael Nekrasov

not related to TA performance;  
consider using PA system in T+D lecture  
hall. kind of hard to hear.