



University of California Santa Barbara

**CS 160: Translation of Programming
Languages**

Spring 2019

ESCI SURVEY STATISTICS

6/25/19

End of Spring Quarter 2019

Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Fall Quarter 2014 - Spring Quarter 2019

Abbrev: **CMPSC** Instructor: **NEKRASOV M S** Rank: **Teaching Assistant** Course: **CMPSC 160 0102** Type: **Discussion**
 Department: **COMPUTER SCIENCE** Course Enrollment: **12**

Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at <http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci>.

NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278).

(888) **1.** The TA's interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter.

(a) excellent (b) good (c) average (d) fair (e) poor

	1	2	3	4	5	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
*NOTE: Each Student Response=7%	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)					
*--> This COURSE current quarter	93%	7%				0	14	1	1.1	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	67%	25%	6%	1%	1%	2	711	41	1.4	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	61%	29%	8%	1%	1%	36	13217	581	1.5	1.0
Campus TAs over time	61%	29%	8%	1%	1%	36	13217	581	1.5	1.0

(889) **2.** The TA's interest and enthusiasm for teaching.

(a) excellent (b) good (c) average (d) fair (e) poor

	1	2	3	4	5	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
*NOTE: Each Student Response=7%	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)					
*--> This COURSE current quarter	93%		7%			0	14	1	1.1	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	66%	24%	7%	2%		3	711	41	1.5	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	59%	28%	10%	2%	1%	35	13217	581	1.6	1.0
Campus TAs over time	59%	28%	10%	2%	1%	35	13217	581	1.6	1.0

ESCI SURVEY STATISTICS

6/25/19

End of Spring Quarter 2019

Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Fall Quarter 2014 - Spring Quarter 2019

Abbrev: **CMPSC** Instructor: **NEKRASOV M S** Rank: **Teaching Assistant** Course: **CMPSC 160 0102** Type: **Discussion**
 Department: **COMPUTER SCIENCE** Course Enrollment: **12**

Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at <http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci>.

NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278).

(2654) **3.** The TA's preparation of materials and periods of instruction.

(a) excellent (b) good (c) average (d) fair (e) poor

	1	2	3	4	5	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
*NOTE: Each Student Response=7%	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)					
*--> This COURSE current quarter	93%	7%				0	14	1	1.1	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	67%	23%	7%	2%	1%	3	711	41	1.5	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	62%	26%	9%	2%	1%	53	13217	581	1.5	1.0
Campus TAs over time	62%	26%	9%	2%	1%	53	13217	581	1.5	1.0

(2655) **4.** The TA's clarity and precision of speech.

(a) excellent (b) good (c) average (d) fair (e) poor

	1	2	3	4	5	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
*NOTE: Each Student Response=7%	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)					
*--> This COURSE current quarter	100%					0	14	1	1.0	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	66%	21%	8%	3%	1%	3	711	41	1.5	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	58%	26%	11%	3%	2%	43	13217	581	1.7	1.0
Campus TAs over time	58%	26%	11%	3%	2%	43	13217	581	1.7	1.0

ESCI SURVEY STATISTICS

6/25/19

End of Spring Quarter 2019

Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Fall Quarter 2014 - Spring Quarter 2019

Abbrv: **CMPSC** Instructor: **NEKRASOV M S** Rank: **Teaching Assistant** Course: **CMPSC 160 0102** Type: **Discussion**
 Department: **COMPUTER SCIENCE** Course Enrollment: **12**

Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at <http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci>.

NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278).

(2552) **5.** Your overall evaluation of the TA.

(a) excellent (b) good (c) average (d) fair (e) poor

Response weighting:	1	2	3	4	5	Blank	Total	Total	Mean	Median
*NOTE: Each Student Response=7%	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	Response	Students	Courses		
*--> This COURSE current quarter	93%		7%			0	14	1	1.1	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	66%	25%	6%	2%	1%	1	711	41	1.5	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	60%	28%	8%	2%	1%	57	13217	581	1.6	1.0
Campus TAs over time	60%	28%	8%	2%	1%	57	13217	581	1.6	1.0

(190) **6.** How well does your T.A. seem to know the subject matter of the course?

(a) truly exceptional knowledge
 (b) thorough knowledge
 (c) adequate knowledge
 (d) inadequate knowledge

Response weighting:	1	2	3	4	Blank	Total	Total	Mean	Median	
*NOTE: Each Student Response=7%	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	Response	Students	Courses			
*--> This COURSE current quarter	93%	7%			0	14	1	1.1	1.0	
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	64%	30%	5%		8	711	41	1.4	1.0	
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	60%	35%	5%	1%	134	13217	581	1.5	1.0	
Campus TAs over time	58%	36%	6%	1%	527	82044	3873	1.5	1.0	

ESCI SURVEY STATISTICS

6/25/19

End of Spring Quarter 2019

Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Fall Quarter 2014 - Spring Quarter 2019

Abbrv: **CMPSC** Instructor: **NEKRASOV M S** Rank: **Teaching Assistant** Course: **CMPSC 160 0102** Type: **Discussion**
 Department: **COMPUTER SCIENCE** Course Enrollment: **12**

Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at <http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci>.

NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278).

(8217) **17.** 'I am hesitant to ask this TA questions because I get the impression that I am imposing on his/her time.' Do you...

	Strongly agree (a)	Agree (b)	Uncertain (c)	Disagree (d)	Strongly disagree (e)					
Response weighting:	4	3	0	2	1	Blank	Total	Total	Mean	Median
*NOTE: Each Student Response=8%	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	Response	Students	Courses		
*--> This COURSE current quarter	8%	8%	8%	7%		1	14	1	1.5	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	5%	7%	14%	25%	48%	14	711	41	1.6	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	5%	6%	16%	29%	44%	257	13217	581	1.7	1.0
Campus TAs over time	4%	4%	11%	26%	55%	3754	76870	3724	1.5	1.0

(1946) **18.** 'I feel that I must have a specific question in mind before I can talk to this T.A.'

	strongly agree (a)	agree (b)	uncertain (c)	disagree (d)	strongly disagree (e)					
Response weighting:	0	0	0	0	0	Blank	Total	Total	Mean	Median
*NOTE: Each Student Response=8%	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	Response	Students	Courses		
*--> This COURSE current quarter	15%	8%	15%	15%	46%	1	14	1		
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	10%	21%	21%	20%	28%	14	711	41		
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	10%	22%	22%	22%	24%	269	13217	581		
Campus TAs over time	10%	22%	22%	22%	24%	269	13217	581		

ESCI SURVEY STATISTICS

6/25/19

End of Spring Quarter 2019

Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Fall Quarter 2014 - Spring Quarter 2019

Abbrv: **CMPSC** Instructor: **NEKRASOV M S** Rank: **Teaching Assistant** Course: **CMPSC 160 0102** Type: **Discussion**
 Department: **COMPUTER SCIENCE** Course Enrollment: **12**

Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at <http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci>.

NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278).

(1244) **19.** How effective was this discussion/laboratory section in helping you make connections between the laboratory assignments and the lecture materials?

	very effective (a)	effective (b)	ineffective (c)	ineffective (d)	not applicable to this section (e)					
Response weighting:	1	2	3	4	0					
*NOTE: Each Student Response=8%	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
*--> This COURSE current quarter	85%	15%				1	14	1	1.2	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	44%	38%	11%	3%	4%	17	711	41	1.7	2.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	43%	42%	10%	3%	3%	294	13217	581	1.7	2.0
Campus TAs over time	41%	40%	9%	3%	8%	3623	64274	3310	1.7	2.0

(1898) **20.** How much did the discussion/laboratory section contribute to the overall value of the course?

- (a) they greatly increased the value
- (b) they slightly increased the value
- (c) they slightly decreased the value
- (d) they greatly decreased the value
- (e) not applicable to this course

	1	2	3	4	0					
Response weighting:	1	2	3	4	0					
*NOTE: Each Student Response=8%	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
*--> This COURSE current quarter	92%	8%				2	14	1	1.1	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	52%	39%	5%	1%	3%	56	711	41	1.5	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	53%	38%	6%	1%	2%	1093	13217	581	1.5	1.0
Campus TAs over time	53%	38%	6%	1%	2%	1095	13260	585	1.5	1.0

ESCI SURVEY STATISTICS

6/25/19

End of Spring Quarter 2019

Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Fall Quarter 2014 - Spring Quarter 2019

Abbrv: **CMPSC** Instructor: **NEKRASOV M S** Rank: **Teaching Assistant** Course: **CMPSC 160 0102** Type: **Discussion**
 Department: **COMPUTER SCIENCE** Course Enrollment: **12**

Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at <http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci>.

NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278).

(1236) **21.** How useful were the discussion/laboratory sessions for aiding your understanding of the course material or your ability to apply it?

	very useful (a)	somewhat useful (b)	not very useful (c)	not at all useful (d)	not applicable (e)		Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
Response weighting:	1	2	3	4	0						
*NOTE: Each Student Response=8%	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)						
*--> This COURSE current quarter	100%						2	14	1	1.0	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)											
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	56%	32%	8%	2%	3%		57	711	41	1.6	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	55%	34%	8%	2%	1%		1120	13217	581	1.6	1.0
Campus TAs over time	52%	33%	7%	2%	5%		4608	64274	3310	1.6	1.0

(1281) **22.** How often did you attend the discussions sections?

- (a) always
- (b) almost always
- (c) about half the time
- (d) seldom
- (e) never

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)		Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses
Response weighting:	0	0	0	0	0				
*NOTE: Each Student Response=8%	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)				
*--> This COURSE current quarter	67%	33%					2	14	1
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)									
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	60%	25%	11%	3%	1%		59	711	41
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	70%	21%	7%	2%	1%		1129	13217	581
Campus TAs over time	70%	21%	7%	2%	1%		1129	13217	581

ESCI SURVEY STATISTICS

6/25/19

End of Spring Quarter 2019

Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Fall Quarter 2014 - Spring Quarter 2019

Abbrv: **CMPSC** Instructor: **NEKRASOV M S** Rank: **Teaching Assistant** Course: **CMPSC 160 0102** Type: **Discussion**
 Department: **COMPUTER SCIENCE** Course Enrollment: **12**

Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at <http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci>.

NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278).

(8219) **23.** Please grade your TA's overall performance in helping YOU master the course.

(a) A (b) B (c) C (d) D (e) F

Response weighting:	1	2	3	4	5	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
*NOTE: Each Student Response=9%	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)					
*--> This COURSE current quarter	100%					3	14	1	1.0	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	66%	24%	7%	2%	1%	73	711	41	1.5	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	63%	26%	9%	2%	1%	1389	13217	581	1.5	1.0
Campus TAs over time	67%	24%	7%	2%	1%	7150	103268	5403	1.4	1.0

(8220) **24.** Finally, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness.

Thank you.

Please see questionnaire.

20. How much did this discussion/laboratory section contribute to the overall value of the course?

- a) they greatly increased the value b) they slightly increased the value c) they slightly decreased the value d) they greatly decreased the value e) not applicable to this course

21. How useful were the discussion/laboratory sections for aiding your understanding of the course material or your ability to apply it?

- a) very useful b) somewhat useful c) not very useful d) not at all useful e) not applicable

22. How often did you attend the discussion sections?

- a) always b) almost always c) about half the time d) seldom e) never

23. Please grade your TA's overall performance in helping YOU master the course:

- a) A b) B c) C d) D e) F

WRITTEN COMMENTS

Using the space below, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness. **Please do not write comments on the optical scan form.**

IF YOU DO NOT HAVE COMMENTS, PLEASE LEAVE THIS AREA BLANK.

COURSE: CS 160 TA: Mikhail Nekrasov

His office hours were very useful.

20. How much did this discussion/laboratory section contribute to the overall value of the course?
a) they greatly increased the value b) they slightly increased the value c) they slightly decreased the value d) they greatly decreased the value e) not applicable to this course
21. How useful were the discussion/laboratory sections for aiding your understanding of the course material or your ability to apply it?
a) very useful b) somewhat useful c) not very useful d) not at all useful e) not applicable
22. How often did you attend the discussion sections?
a) always b) almost always c) about half the time d) seldom e) never
23. Please grade your TA's overall performance in helping YOU master the course:
a) A b) B c) C d) D e) F

WRITTEN COMMENTS

Using the space below, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness. **Please do not write comments on the optical scan form.**

IF YOU DO NOT HAVE COMMENTS, PLEASE LEAVE THIS AREA BLANK.

COURSE: CS 160 TA: Michael

Very good

20. How much did this discussion/laboratory section contribute to the overall value of the course?
 a) they greatly increased the value b) they slightly increased the value c) they slightly decreased the value d) they greatly decreased the value e) not applicable to this course
21. How useful were the discussion/laboratory sections for aiding your understanding of the course material or your ability to apply it?
 a) very useful b) somewhat useful c) not very useful d) not at all useful e) not applicable
22. How often did you attend the discussion sections?
 a) always b) almost always c) about half the time d) seldom e) never
23. Please grade your TA's overall performance in helping YOU master the course:
 a) A b) B c) C d) D e) F

WRITTEN COMMENTS

Using the space below, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness. Please do not write comments on the optical scan form.

IF YOU DO NOT HAVE COMMENTS, PLEASE LEAVE THIS AREA BLANK.

COURSE: CS160 TA: Michael

Overall no issues. Helped with projects and explaining stuff.